

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

c(c

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/076,106	02/13/2002	Gregory Stephanopoulos	MIN-P01-038	8521
28120	7590 06/14/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP ROPES & GRAY LLP ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110-2624		STEELE, AMBER D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1639		

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/076,106	STEPHANOPOULOS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Amber D. Steele	1639				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-19 are currently pending.

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a method described as "identifying one or more genetic elements which selectively confer a target phenotype on a target cell", classified in class 435, subclass 6, for example.
 - II. Claims 17-19, drawn to a method of conducting a "discovery business", classified in class 705, subclass 500, for example.
- 2. The inventions are independent and/or distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are drawn to independent and/or patentably distinct methods since these methods are drawn to different method steps, require different materials and/or reagents, and/or will produce different results. For example, Group II requires "establishing a distribution system" and/or "licensing, to a third party, the rights for further drug development" which is not required by Group I. Additionally, the differences between the reference methods of Groups I and II would not be coextensive. Further, the inventions of Groups I and II have a separate status in the art as shown by the different classifications (see paragraph 1 above). As such, it would be burdensome to search the inventions of Groups I and II together due to the necessity to search in

Art Unit: 1639

different and separately burdensome manual/computer bibliographic and/or classification searches and a reference against one method would not necessarily anticipate and/or render obvious a different method. Consequently, Groups I and II have different issues regarding patentability and enablement and represent patentably distinct subject matter.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and:
 - a. have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and/or
 - b. divergent subject matter which would require different bibliographic and/or classification searches; and/or
 - c. because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of the recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election (Burdensome Search)

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed inventions:

> Group I is drawn to a method for "identifying one or more genetic elements which selectively confer a target phenotype on a target cell" comprising the use of the following Markush species:

a. "genetic elements" [e.g. "E. coli MG1655 genomic fragment library averaging 1-2 kbp" (please refer to claims 3-4 and 15-16)]

Application/Control Number: 10/076,106

Art Unit: 1639

b. "host cell" [e.g. "E. coli DH5α" (please refer to claims 5-7)]

c. "expression vector" [e.g. "pTAGL" (please refer to claims 8-9)]

d. "target phenotype" [e.g. gfp/green fluorescent protein (please refer to claims 10-13)]

Group II is drawn to a method of conducting a "discovery business"

i. type of discovery business [e.g. chemotherapeutics (please refer to claims 17-19)]

It would necessarily be unduly burdensome to search each of the above Markush members of the presently claimed methods since it would entail different and separately burdensome manual/computer bibliographic searches in the patent and nonpatent literature databases and/or additionally a reference against one species may not necessarily anticipate or render obvious the other and/or the different species may elicit different issues under 35 U.S.C. 112/1.

Accordingly, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each of the above items a-d if Group I is elected or i if Group II is elected. Markushes must be elected (for example: election of *E. coli* MG1655 genomic fragment library averaging 1-2 kbp; *E. coli* DH5\alpha; pTAGL; and gfp: i.e. one species from each of the Markushes of claims 3-16 for Group I) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 2 is generic.

6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 10/076,106 Page 5

Art Unit: 1639

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered **nonresponsive** unless accompanied by an election.

- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the grounds that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicants to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/076,106 Page 6

Art Unit: 1639

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Future Correspondences

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amber D. Steele whose telephone number is 571-272-5538. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached at 571-272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ADS June 11, 2005 BENNETT CELSA